

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,722	04/18/2005	Cyril Robinet	052465	2311
38834	7590 12/15/2005		EXAM	INER
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ARGENBRIGHT, TONY MICHAEL	
SUITE 700	· · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N, DC 20036		3747	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/531,722	ROBINET ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	T. M. Argenbright	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	DIVIC CETTO EVDIDE AM	ONTURY OF THIRTY (20) I	DAVO.
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r in.  The string of	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 April 2005.		
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑	This action is non-final.	• • •	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,8-11,15-18 and 21</u> is/are rej	ected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,7,12-14,19,20 and 22</u> is/are of	bjected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
pplication Papers		1	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2005 is/are		cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	application No	
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
ttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intendence	Summary (PTO-413)	
) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/05.</li> </ul>	5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 	i2)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. —		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 does not meet the clarity requirements of 37 CFR 1.84(I). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 2, 6, 15, 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex* 

Art Unit: 3747

parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 2, 6, 17 and 21 recite a first value of thermal conductivity, and the claims also recite a preferred value of thermal conductivity, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

In claim 15, line 4, "quarter" should read "inner".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 9, 16, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dworak et al. Dworak et al discloses a precombustion chamber having a body 2 and a cylindrical connecting passageway 6. In column 3, lines 30-55, Dworak et al discloses that the body is made from a material having a thermal conductivity of greater than 50 W/K/m.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/531,722

Art Unit: 3747

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dworak et al in view of Karlovitz. Dworak et al does not disclose the diameter of the connecting passage.

Karlovitz discloses a prechamber 5 having a connecting passage 6 with a diameter of 5mm. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworak et al to use a connecting passage having a diameter of 5mm, as shown by Karlovitz, to provide a large area of flow into the main chamber for optimum ignition.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dworak et al in view of Nogi et al. Nogi et al discloses using prechamber passageways having a diameter of 1mm or more in column 8, lines 11-21 to promote optimum ignition in the main chamber. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dworak et al to have passageways of 1mm or more in diameter, as shown by Nogi et al, to optimize ignition in the main chamber.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, 7, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,722 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

Claims 6, 15 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prechambers made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. M. Argenbright whose telephone number is 571-272-4837. The examiner can normally be reached M-Th 6:30am-3:00pm and alt. Fridays 6:30am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen can be reached on 571-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

T. M. Argenbright
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3747